



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/820,964	03/30/2001	Lev Brouk	ODVFP009A	3907
22434	7590	07/24/2009	EXAMINER	
Weaver Austin Villeneuve & Sampson LLP P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250				LEE, PHILIP C
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2448				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/24/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/820,964	BROUK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	PHILIP C. LEE	2448	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) PHILIP C. LEE. (3) John Griffith.  
 (2) Jeffrey Kuhn. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 July 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 17.

Identification of prior art discussed: Zombek et al, U.S.6704768.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discusses the features of the invention. Examiner explains the interpretation of the claim limitations of claim 17 in view of Zombek. Examiner suggests further amending the discussed claim limitations to distinguish from the prior arts of record. Upon submission of the amendment, further search and/or reconsideration are still required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Philip C Lee/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2448	
---	--